

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450		
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		
www.uspto.gov		
m m m.uspio.gov		

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/877,773	06/08/2001	Huiqi Yin	10832/006	3941
75	90 10/08/2003	EXAMINER		
	ER GILSON & LION	STOCK JR, GORDON J		
Suite 2425 One Indiana Sq	uare		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Indianpolis, IN 46204-2033			2877	

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		RP						
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/877,773	YIN, HUIQI						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Gordon J Stock	2877						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa								
closed in accordance with the practice under language Disposition of Claims	<i>±x paπe Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 16</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2, 10-15, 17-25</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	,						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 June 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur		d in this National Stage						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2 	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)						
S. Patent and Trademark Office								

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to for the following: on line 26 of page 1, the phrase, "the laser induces," should read –laser induced--; on lines 5-10, it is unclear as to how Fig. 1 depicts the signal acceptance area being increased 40 times; on line 18 of page 3, the phrase, "manageable lever," should read –manageable level--. Corrections are required.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 64 and 44 of Fig. 5; 'A' of Figs. 1-4 and 7; (A, 0, L), (0,0,L), (-A, 0, L), (a, 0, f), (0,0,f), (-a, 0, f) of Fig. 1.; 'a' and '2a' of Fig. 4 A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Preikschat et al. (4,871,251).

As for claim 1, Preikschat in an apparatus and method for particle analysis discloses the following: a light source, a laser diode; radiation optics coupled to the light source so that light

Art Unit: 2877

emitted from the light source is directed toward a region on a sample surface; sampling optics situated to receive the backscattered light produced from the sample; an objective lens common to both the radiation optics and the sampling optics, a holder for the lens; and apparatus for moving the objective lens holder in a plane generally parallel to the sample surface (Figs. 15-18; cols. 15-18). As for a characteristic spectrum and spectral analyzer, Preikschat discloses multiple wavelengths and detectors for determining characteristics such as color and index of refraction (col. 18, lines 40-67; col. 19, lines 1-15). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that Preikschat's multiple wavelength system comprised a spectral analyzer in order to determine characteristics such as color and refractive index by looking at two different spectral wavelengths backscattered. As for deviation and wavelength being known, the laser diode has a known radiating area and is monochromatic (col. 6, lines 3-15).

As for the phrase "so that the light emitted ... threshold of the sample," it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ F.2d 1647 (1987).

As for claim 3, Preikschat also discloses a motor for vibrating the lens holder, a controller (col. 17, lines 35-50).

As for claim 16, Preikschat discloses a probe including a housing having an optical window and a light source, a laser diode; radiation optics coupled to the light source so that light emitted from the light source is directed toward a region on a sample surface; sampling optics situated to receive the backscattered light produced from the sample; an objective lens common to both the radiation optics and the sampling optics, a holder for the lens; and apparatus for

Art Unit: 2877

moving the objective lens holder in a plane generally parallel to the sample surface (Figs. 13,15-18; cols. 14-18). As for a characteristic spectrum and spectral analyzer, Preikschat discloses multiple wavelengths and detectors for determining characteristics such as color and index of refraction (col. 18, lines 40-67; col. 19, lines 1-15). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time that Preikschat's multiple wavelength system comprised a spectral analyzer in order to determine characteristics such as color and refractive index by looking at two different spectral wavelengths backscattered. As for deviation and wavelength being known, the laser diode has a known radiating area and is monochromatic (col. 6, lines 3-15).

As for the phrase "so that the light emitted ... threshold of the sample," it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ F.2d 1647 (1987).

5. Claims 4/1-9/1, and 4/3-9/3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Preikschat et al. (4,871,251) in view of Kain et al. (5,847,400).

As for the radiation optics and sampling optics sharing a common filter for claims 4/1 and 4/3, Preikschat demonstrates one embodiment as having a beamsplitter that has a filtering function for it focuses solely the backscattered light onto the photodetector (Fig. 13; col. 14, lines 35-55). Kain in a laser scanning system demonstrates that the filter may reflect the source light to the sample rather than the light from the sample to the detector (Fig. 2, 23). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made that the filter may reflect the source light to the sample rather than reflect the sample light to the detector through a mere rearrangement of the optical path.

As for claims 5/1 and 5/3, Preikschat does not mention a bandpass filter in front of the light source, but Kain again does to reduce unwanted wavelengths (col. 5, lines 10-15). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to have a bandpass filter in front of the light source to reduce unwanted wavelengths.

Page 5

As for claims 6/1 and 6/3, Preikschat discloses a probe including a housing an optical window in his embodiments (Fig. 16).

As for claims 7/1 and 7/3, Preikschat is silent concerning a handle and trigger. However, Examiner takes official notice that handles for probes are well-known in the art for making objects easily portable, and triggers are well known in the art to be coupled to laser systems for proper laser control. Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to have the probe comprise a handle for ease of transport of the probe, and for the laser diode to be coupled to a trigger for laser control.

As for claims 8/1, 8/3, 9/1, 9/3, Preikschat's drawing's suggest the following: an elongated rail and tubular member with longitudinal slot; a plurality of supports fixed to the rail upper surface for supporting optical elements, a backplate and nose cone (Figs. 1-3).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2, 4/2-15/2, 10/1-15/1, 10/3-15/3, and 17-19, 20/16-20/19, 21/16-21/19, 22/16-22/19, 23/16-23/19, 24/16-24/19, and 25/16-25/19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claim 2, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in an apparatus for identifying the composition of a sample the objective lens is Application/Control Number: 09/877,773

Art Unit: 2877

eccentrically mounted within the lens holder, and further comprising a motor for rotating the lens holder to achieve movement of the light on the sample surface, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claims 2, 4/2-15/2.

As to claims 10/1 and 10/3, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in an apparatus for identifying the composition of a sample "a baffling tube fixed within the housing contiguous to the bandpass filter to form a segregated region within the housing for absorbing radiation reflected by the bandpass filter," in combination with the rest of the limitations of claims 10/1 and 10/3.

As to claims 11/1 and 11/3, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in an apparatus for identifying the composition of a sample "trigger is connected to said motor so that depression of the trigger causes movement of the objective lens holder in a plane generally perpendicular to an axis of the holder passing through the optical window, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claims 11/1-15/1 and 11/3-15/3.

As to claim 17, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in an apparatus for identifying the composition of a sample the objective lens is eccentrically mounted within the lens holder, and further comprising a motor for rotating the lens holder to achieve movement of the light on the sample surface, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claims 17-19, 20/17-20/19, 21/17-21/19, 22/17-22/19, 23/17-23/19, 24/17-24/19, and 25/17-25/19.

As to claim 20/16, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in an apparatus for identifying the composition of a sample "a trigger coupled

Application/Control Number: 09/877,773

Art Unit: 2877

to the light source and the motor," in combination with the rest of the limitations of claims 20/16-25/16.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - U.S. Patent 5,978,083 to Müller
 - U.S. Patent 5,788,639 to Zavislan et al.
 - U.S. Patent 5,880,465 to Boettner et al
 - U.S. Patent 4,870,950 to Kanbara et al.

Fax/Telephone Numbers

If the applicant wishes to send a fax dealing with either a proposed amendment or a discussion with a phone interview, then the fax should:

- 1) Contain either a statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the fax cover sheet; and
 - 2) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Papers related to the application may be submitted to Group 2800 by Fax transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO Fax machine located in Crystal Plaza 4. The form of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Machine number is: (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gordon J. Stock whose telephone number is (703) 305-4787. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00 a.m. - 6:30 p.m.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 09/877,773

Art Unit: 2877

gs September 28, 2003

Page 8

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877